# Competitive Advantage Strategies for SMEs: A Case Study in Tourist Sector

## Burcu Selin Yilmaz

Dokuz Eylul University, Turkey selin.yilmaz@deu.edu.tr

#### Abstract

In order to survive in today's chaotic business environment, small companies must find and use creative techniques as a source of competitive advantage, since the rules of competitive game of business have changed significantly. Therefore, changing the ways of doing things could be considered as the only choice to become successful for entrepreneurs. From a strategic perspective, the key to business success is to develop a unique competitive advantage that creates value for customers and is difficult for competitors to duplicate. As one of the largest and fastest growing sectors of the global economy, tourism consists of many small and medium size enterprises which try to be successful in an extremely competitive and rapidly changing business environment. To create competitive advantage in this environment, a small tourism enterprise has to choose a powerful strategy. The number of strategies from which the small tourism business owner can choose is infinite. Tourism businesses, like the ones in other sectors, have been exposed the forces of rapidly changing competitive environment; they have to find the ways to survive and grow under the rapid changes and uncertainty brought by globalization. In this study, after evaluating former studies strategies of gaining competitive advantage and its applications in small and medium size enterprises in tourism, the obstacles affecting small businesses in tourism and their competitive advantage strategies are examined by conducting an empirical study. In addition, based on a hypothetical example from the tourist sector (a small enterprise newly established by an entrepreneur who seeks to find a good position in the marketplace) some strategies which can be chosen by the small business owner in order to create competitive advantage are suggested.

Keywords: competitive advantage, strategic management,
entrepreneurship, tourism, competitive strategies

JEL Classification: M13, L21, L25, L26, L83

### Introduction

Political changes in all over the world, rapid technological advances, intense competition, and newly emerging global markets make the business environment more turbulent and challenging to business owners. Although the business environment characterized by uncertainty and turbulence creates many challenges for small businesses, it also offers opportunities for the companies which have solid business strategies.

In a stable market environment with certainty and slow pace of change, companies try to find the best fit between the existing market opportunities and company capabilities while formulating strategies. Companies take sector structure and competitive conduct as it is and then they choose a strategy that adapts to the market environment. Much less attention is devoted to assessing strategies that might

fundamentally shape sector structure and conduct to create changes that play to a company's advantages. This emphasis on adapting rather than shaping is natural in stable business environments, where key elements of sector structure and conduct — such as technology platforms, regulations, competitors, and customer purchasing patterns — appear to be locked—in and difficult to change.

In more uncertain markets, however, many of these key elements may be indecisive and unstable, and hence susceptible to being shaped. A company's decision to adopt a new technology, for example, may help establish that technology as the sector standard. Under what circumstances should companies attempt to proactively shape uncertain sector structure and conduct, and when is it better to reactively adapt to sector changes over time?

Whether a company chooses to shape or adapt, strategy invariably involves making at least some major commitments that are difficult to reverse. These include acquisitions, capital investments, and choices about the design of the business system. The timing of such commitments is often the key to building and sustaining competitive advantage. In today's global competitive environment, any business, large or small, that could not think and act strategically is extremely vulnerable.

The rules of competitive game of business have changed dramatically. To be successful, companies can no longer do things in the way they have always done them. Fortunately, successful companies have a powerful weapon to cope with a hostile, ever-changing, and uncertain business environment: the process of developing competitive advantage strategies.

As one of the fastest growing sectors of the global economy, the tourist sector consists of many small and medium size enterprises which try to be successful in an extremely competitive and rapidly changing business environment. To create competitive advantage in this environment, a small tourism enterprise has to choose a powerful strategy. The number of strategies from which the small tourism business owner can choose is infinite.

This paper builds a theoretical background and provides propositions showing how competitive advantage strategies are applied in small and medium-sized enterprises in the tourist sector. After evaluating former studies on competitive advantage and its applications in small and medium-sized enterprises in the tourist sector, based on a hypothetical example structured on an empirical study on the obstacles affecting small businesses in tourism and their competitive advantage strategies, some competitive advantage strategies are suggested for small and medium-sized enterprises in tourism.

## SMEs and SMEs in Tourism

In today's globalized world, SMEs have become more important for both developed and developing countries since they generate significant percentages of overall production and employment. Statistics from the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and other sources indicate that SMEs now account for a very substantial proportion of exports from most industrialized nations (Knight, 2001, p.155). Definitions of SMEs vary from country to country. Number of employees and total turnover -depending on the sector- are used as

measures by The North American Industry Classification System. The European Union (EU) has created a uniform definition: SMEs are independent enterprises which employ fewer than 250 persons and which have an annual turnover not exceeding 50 million euro and/or an annual balance sheet total not exceeding 43 million euro. This definition has been adopted, with modifications, by most member states of EU and some non-EU countries (Eikebrokk and Olsen, 2007).

Tourism is seen as "a ubiquitous vehicle for economic development and diversification and ... an integral of economic development policy" (Sharpley, 2002, p.221) and a contributor to job creation globally (Lea, 1988; Harrison, 1992a, 1992b, 1994; Archer and Fletcher, 1996; Brohman, 1996; Sinclair, 1998; Sinclair and Stabler, 1998; Jones, 2005). The significance of new firms in job generation, innovation, and economic change is widely accepted, and tourism SMEs are assigned an important role as an aid to regional development (Harrison and Leitch, 1996; Wanhill, 2000, Kirsten and Rogerson, 2002). The features of tourism SMEs which made them an important part of economic agenda are: their ability to create new jobs at a time when major operators are downsizing; improvements to industrial relations and working environment; diversified and flexible structure; stimulating competition, leading to an energetic enterprise culture; and their creativity in introducing new service products (Wanhill, 2002). They are labor intensive and frequently multi-tasking, thus allowing for flexibility in meeting the needs of their customers. SMEs in the tourist sector play a critical role in alleviating poverty (Kirsten and Rogerson, 2002).

On the other hand, many of SMEs in tourism are family enterprises so as to there are sufficient instances of those with little market stability, low levels of capital investment, weak management skills and resistance to advice or change, which cause barriers to successful tourism development (Getz, Carlsen and Morrison, 2004). The solution to difficulties of this kind that tends to be adopted by government agencies in the field is to identify good practices that can be held up as exemplars. Action is then taken to try and upgrade standards through "benchmarking", sharing best practice, providing financial support, and investing in the skills of the labor force (Wanhill, 2000, p.135).

Tourism SMEs fulfill a dual role of on the one hand being an innovative and enterprising force in the local economy and on the other a reservoir of hidden unemployment (people creating their own work). In this respect, developing countries are not too different from mature economies, where it is observed that small business startups accelerate during a recession (Wanhill, 2000). Within the hospitality, tourism and leisure sectors, while there are a large number of multi-national chains, the sectors are still dominated by a substantial volume of small, entrepreneurial enterprises (Hjalager, 2007). Independent SMEs play a major role in the provision of services, especially as regards shops, restaurants, and accommodation and travel services (Inskeep, 1994). Small and medium-sized enterprises play a significant role in determining of a destination's competitiveness. Entrepreneurship and new venture development contribute to a destination's competitiveness in a variety of ways (Crouch and Ritchie, 1995) and there exist many small to medium-sized enterprises in the tourist sector (Crouch and Ritchie, 1999).

## The Competitive Advantage Concept

There has been comparatively little research that explores competitive advantage in small firms as compared to studies of competitive advantage in large companies. That competitive advantage in the smaller firm often arises accidentally as a result of particular operating conditions surrounding the enterprise could be shown as one of the main reasons (Jennings and Beaver, 1997). In addition, it is thought that traditional competitive advantage models are not completely applicable for smaller firms because they assume the existence of scale economies and it is recognized that small firms cannot compete with larger organizations in terms of economies of scale (O'Donnell, Gilmore, Carson and Cummins, 2002, p.207).

Complete understanding of a competitive advantage requires a complex, multidimensional portrayal of all points of superiority or deficiency between a business and its competitors (Day and Nedungadi, 1994). The creation of a competitive advantage through the development of a competitive strategy entails the ability to compare the firm's position with its competitors. Porter (1991) claimed that, when formulating a competitive strategy, managers should be able to identify the competitors constituting their sector. Competitive advantage is often appraised in relation to sector structures, products, markets, customers, strategies, and communication. The sources of competitive advantage for firms are superior skills and resources (Day and Wensley, 1988). These sources of advantage act as structural determinants or drivers of cost or differentiation advantages (Porter, 1985).

Entrepreneurship refers to the quest of new market opportunities and the renewal of existing areas of operation. Entrepreneurial organizations could perform well in dynamic environments, but not in regulated markets. They have low performance in stable environments because the slow pace of change in these settings rewards efficiently exploiting extant opportunities, not aggressiveness. Therefore, entrepreneurship could be beneficial in many contexts, but does not, by itself, provide a sustainable competitive advantage (Hult and Ketchen, 2001; pp.901-902).

Innovativeness is present when the implementation of new ideas, products, or processes is encouraged. Innovation is a critical complement to entrepreneurship because an organization that pursues new opportunities, but is not innovative in meeting the desires of the market, is unlikely to enjoy long-term success (Hult and Ketchen, 2001, pp.901-902).

The tourist sector is in metamorphosis and a new tourism is emerging which promises flexibility, segmentation, change, and diagonal integration, and is driven by information and communication technologies and changing consumer requirements due to the globalization process (Poon, 2003; Cooper et al., 2005; Guzmán, Moreno and Tejada, 2008). The changing tourist sector has a number of profound implications for survival and competitiveness in the sector: competitors will increasingly come from outside the tourist industry; suppliers must get closer to the consumer; and firms have to match their skills and resources with the marketplace, while innovation

holds the key to future survival and competitiveness in the dynamic and radically changing tourism environment (Poon, 2003, p.141).

## Creating Competitive Advantage in SMEs

Small firms and start-up ventures have been skilled in developing innovations in order to exploit profitable market opportunities but less effective at sustaining the competitive advantages that are based on distinguished firm-specific resources needed to exploit those opportunities over time (Hyvonen and Tuominen, 2006, p.643). The study of Hyvonen and Tuominen (2006) shows that technological innovation capability and strong relationships with customers and supply chain partners are the key determinants of positional and economic performance advantages in SMEs.

Recent studies have shown that small firms produce more economically and technically important innovations than larger firms. The entrepreneurial secret for creating value in the marketplace is applying creativity and innovation to solve problems and to exploit opportunities that people face every day. Briefly, entrepreneurs succeed by thinking and doing new things or old things in new ways. Small companies must use creative techniques as one source of competitive advantage (Zimmerer and Scarborough, 2005). One of the fundamental questions of competitive strategy is: Where does a company have an edge over its rivals? In dynamic environments, the sources and nature of these advantages often experience rapid changes. Firstly, a company must determine the meaningful set of competitors in order to assess its advantages over rivals. Secondly, after determining relevant set of competitors, the second question is: Does the company have a sustainable edge over the competition? A three step process can be helpful in determining the company's sustainable edge. This process is part of never-ending cycle of creation and erosion of advantages that must be mastered to achieve superior profitability (Day and Reibstein, 1997, p.21):

- The first step asks whether the company has demonstrated that it has an advantage by delivering superior customer value.
- The second step is to understand the sources of these advantages. These are the superior assets and distinctive capabilities that set the organization apart from competitors.
- The third asks how long these advantages can be sustained by putting impediments in the way of competitors' efforts to imitate, and investing to renovate the advantages or find new ones.

Potentially effective strategies in competitive advantages of small business ventures can be summarized as follows (Meredith, 1988, pp.28-31):

- serving personalized services to customers
- flexibility and adaptability
- specialized and customized products and services
- effective and quick decision making process
- ullet employer and employee motivation based on close relationships
- geographic specialization

Building a competitive advantage alone is not enough; the key to success is building a sustainable competitive advantage (Wickham,

2006; Zimmerer and Scarborough, 2005). In the long run, a company gains a sustainable competitive advantage through its ability to develop a set of core competencies that enables it to serve its selected target customers better than its rivals. Core competencies are a unique set of capabilities that a company develops in key areas, such as superior quality, customer service, innovation, team building, flexibility, responsiveness, and others that allow it to leave behind its competitors (Zimmerer and Scarborough, 2005, p.69). When it comes to developing a strategy for establishing a competitive advantage, small companies have a range of natural advantages over their larger competitors. Small businesses often have narrower product lines, more clearly defined customer bases, and more specific geographic market areas than big businesses. Because of the simplicity of their organizational structures, small business owners are in touch with employees daily, often working side by side with them, allowing them to communicate strategic moves firsthand. Consequently, small businesses find that strategic management comes more naturally to them than larger companies with their layers of bureaucracy and wideranging operations (Zimmerer and Scarborough, 2005).

## A Research on Small Businesses in Tourism

In order to determine the obstacles affecting small businesses in tourist sector and examine their competitive advantage strategies a research is conducted at lodging enterprises in Izmir, Turkey. Data are collected by interviews with managers of selected lodging enterprises (14 managers were chosen for the interviews) in order to examine views on problems of small businesses in tourism and competitive advantage strategies of small lodging enterprises. Interviews are conducted face-to-face, via phone or via e-mail. The main aim of this research is to determine the perception of the managers about these concepts.

The case is structured on the results of interviews with managers of small and medium sized accommodation establishments. In order to prepare the case 14 small and medium sized accommodation establishments (hotels) located in Aegean Region (Turkey) were chosen and a couple of questions related to obstacles affecting small businesses in tourism and competitive advantage were asked to their managers. Based on the results of the interviews a simple case was written. The results of the interviews are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of interview results

Questions	What are the obstacles affecting small businesses in tourism?	Determining competitive strategies is;	In order to create competitive advantage, tourism companies should;	What are your enterprise's main competitive advantages?	In order to gain business success, you should;
Hotel 1	Financial problems, bureaucratic obstacles, lack of qualified employees, fierce competition	Difficult but important	Customize their services and serve better than their competitors.	Service quality, different services than our competitors	Be better than your competitors, control your costs tightly.

Table 1: Summary of interview results (cont'd)

	I			_	
Hotel 2	Financial problems, lack of qualified employees, lack of innovation	Vital	Be more responsive to their customers and be ready to change.	Customer relations, new services, and awareness of the changes in the sector	Be better than your competitors, and give importance to customer relations.
Hotel 3	Financial problems, high costs, and quality deficiencies	Time- consuming and complex	Control their costs and improve service quality.	Service quality	Maximize your profit and control your costs tightly.
Hotel 4	Lack of qualified employees, marketing problems, and financial problems	Determinant of survival	Be more responsive to their customers, build a good image, and be flexible	Brand name, image, customer relations	Give importance to customer relations, and build a good reputation and image.
Hotel 5	Difficulties in preparing a strategic plan, financial problems, barriers to institutional ization	Strategic and important	Have a more scientific approach to management, apply strategic management principles, be more flexible and responsive to the customer needs	Better relationships with customers and other stakeholders	Be better than your competitors, give importance to customer relations, and apply strategic management.
Hotel 6	Financial problems and lack of expertise	Important	Customize their services and be responsive to their customer's needs.	Customized services	Be better than your competitors.
Hotel 7	Financial problems, lack of qualified employees, and uncertainty in business environment	Difficult	Control their costs.	Low prices	Be better than your rivals.
Hotel 8	Financial problems, uncertainty in business environment, and lack of expertise and innovation	Vital	Be more responsive to their customer needs, be more flexible, and adopt technological improvement	Services offered to our customers, innovation	Be more responsive to our customers and offer new services.
Hotel 9	Financial problems, lack of expertise, and lack of qualified employees	Very important	Be more responsive to their customer needs and serve better than their competitors.	Better relationships with customers and service quality	Give importance to customer relations and offer different services.

Table 1: Summary of interview results (cont'd)

Hotel 10	Financial	Needful	Control their	Low prices	Decrease
	problems,	1.000101	costs and	and quality	their costs
	lack of		serve better	ana quarroj	and increase
	qualified		to their		service
	employees		customers.		quality.
Hotel 11	Financial	The main	Be more	Better	Give
noter ii	problems,	determinant	responsive to	services	importance to
	uncertainty	of success	their	offered to	customer
	in business	OI Success	customers and		relations and
	environment,		be better		offer new
	and lack of		than their	quality	services.
	expertise		rivals.	quarrey	DCT V TCCD •
Hotel 12	Financial	Important	Control their	Lower prices	Control their
	problems,	Important	costs and	and	costs, give
	lack of		customize	customized	importance to
	qualified		their	services	customer
	employees,		services.	SCIVICCS	relations and
	and lack of		SCIVICCS.		offer
	expertise				customized
	capercise				services.
Hotel 13	Financial	Complex	Control their	Low prices	Be better
110 002 20	problems and	Compien	costs and	Low Piices	than your
	bureaucratic		offer better		competitors.
	obstacles		services.		
Hotel 14	Financial	Vital and	Be strategic,	Customized	Customize
	problems,	determinant	be more	services,	your services
	lack of	of business	responsive to	service	in order to
	qualified	success	their	quality, good	create value,
	employees,		customers,	relationships	find
	lack of		and be	with	qualified
	expertise and		innovative	customers	employees,
	innovation				and apply
					CRM.

Due to the answers given to the interview questions, it can be concluded that financial problems and lack of qualified employees were denoted as the most significant obstacles affecting small businesses in tourism by most of the managers interviewed. All of the managers interviewed agreed that financial difficulties were one of the obstacles affecting small businesses in tourism. Lack of expertise, lack of innovation uncertainty in business environment and bureaucracy appeared to be other obstacles affecting small businesses in tourism. Moreover, all of them accepted the importance of building a competitive advantage in gaining business success. However, three of them highlighted the difficulties in determining competitive strategies. The interviewees seemed to understand the changing rules of the competitive game. However, some of them stated that they found the process of determining competitive strategies complex, difficult or time-consuming mostly due to some financial problems, lack of skills and lack of money to import trained consultants.

Being responsive to customers, customizing services, decreasing costs, increasing service quality, and being more flexible were the significant suggestions made by most of the managers interviewed to create competitive advantage in tourism companies. Most of the managers interviewed agreed that the main competitive advantages of their companies were service quality, low prices, relationships with their customers and customized services. In order to gain business success, while some of the managers emphasized the importance of customer relations, cost control, offering new and customized

services, and service quality, few of them underlined the importance of being better than rivals without showing the ways of this.

A small, entrepreneurial, start-up hotel, located at a destination on Aegean coast, which suffers from resource poverty, is chosen as an example. It is established by an entrepreneur who is ready to take risks in order to gain competitive advantage over his/her competitors. However, the entrepreneur, as the owner of the lodging enterprise, does not want to take risks blindly, so he/she wants to develop some strong strategies. He/she understands the importance of having clear strategies in gaining competitive advantage for his/her business in today's uncertain and turbulent business environment. The obstacles which the small business owner faces can be summarized as follows: financial problems, lack of expertise, lack of qualified employees, fierce competition in tourism market, bureaucratic obstacles, economic and politic instabilities, and uncertainty in business environment. However, the small business owner realizes the advantages which small businesses have in adapting to market conditions and benefits of establishing clear strategies in order to gain competitive advantage in the market.

Many small hospitality, tourism, or leisure-based business theoretically be set up with mainly working, rather than permanent, capital since the fixed assets which the business needs to use are minimal. There is therefore a situation where individuals with only modest amounts of their own capital are motivated to start-up a business in the tourist sector. Non-financial barriers to entry are also fairly modest within the hospitality, tourism and leisure sectors. In the main, the controls over expertise tend to be focused on very narrow areas of technical knowledge. Consequently, as well as low financial barriers to entry, there is the prospect of many entrepreneurs setting up in business with inadequate levels of business expertise. Taken together, these two factors contribute to a scenario where many entrepreneurs have low levels of personal capital, and may enter into business with unproven levels of business expertise. This situation is described as resource poverty. Both financial funding and expertise are in short supply. It is not a good prognosis for success, but a situation which offers unlimited entrepreneurial opportunity (Morrison, Rimmington and Williams, 2005).

For SMEs in tourism a primary source of capital has been personal or family savings, and as a result important control points can be missed. Many who rely on self-funding fail to prepare a disciplined and detailed business plan. There are several sources of small business failure that can contribute to a poor financial situation such as poor operational management, high gearing, poor senior management and a weak local economy (Murphy and Murphy, 2004).

During the recent years, competitiveness of tourism enterprises has become the most dominant issue of the tourist sector (Peters, Withalm and Wölfel, 2008). Radical changes in the marketplace have a major influence on the ability of SMEs to compete effectively. Due to these changes, new players have entered the market and weakened competitiveness of SMEs unprepared for change (Poon, 2003; By and Dale, 2008). The reluctance of SMEs to change during the transformation of the tourism industry to a buyers' market during the 1980s led to a competitive disadvantage (Buhalis and Peters, 2006).

Decisions about strategy content must be made in the light of and understanding of external conditions such as characteristics of the

market, the competitive situation and the way which different sectors can be served, and in the light of internal concerns such as the mission and goals of the organization, the resources it owns and its capabilities. The venture achieves success if it directs its resources in an appropriate way towards delivering rewarding and sustainable strategy content. Investments in financial, operating and human resources all play in supporting the strategy content (Wickham, 2006; p.351, p.360).

Firm-level strategy is synonymous with strategic orientation that is commonly defined as, "how an organization uses strategy to adapt and/or change aspects of its environment for a more favourable alignment" (Manu and Sriram, 1996, p.79). Formulating an effective business strategy for a firm is a complex task. One of the major determinants that influence manager's choices of business strategy is gaining competitive advantage in a sector. The life cycle stages of the sector and the rate of technological change are two drivers that have important impact on industry revolution (Lei and Slocum, 2005, p.31).

Small companies must develop strategies that exploit all of the competitive advantages of their size by (Zimmerer and Scarborough, 2005, p.89; Wickham, 2006, p.363):

- Responding quickly to customers' needs.
- Offering a new product or service.
- Offering greater value.
- Remaining flexible and willing to change.
- Constantly searching for new, emerging market segments.
- Building and defending market niches.
- Erecting "switching costs", the cost a customer incurs by switching to a competitor's product or service, through personal service or loyalty.
- Remaining entrepreneurial and willing to take risks and act with lightning speed.
- Creating new relationships.
- Constantly innovating.

To survive within the transformed and changing tourist sector, innovation is considered as the main means of business success (Poon, 2003). The tourist sector suppliers should try to understand the complex and unpredictable behaviours of tourists and the diversity of their needs (Murphy and Murphy, 2004). While creativity and understanding the tourism market constitute only half of the tourism profitability and competitiveness equation, the other half of the equation is related to the actual endowments of the firm, and how suited they are to the new requirements of the tourist sector (Poon, 2003, p. 140).

Specific management characteristics of small and medium-sized enterprises in tourism which can be described as advantages or disadvantages affect their competitiveness, growth and profitability (Peters, Withalm and Wölfel, 2008). While advantages usually relate to the size of the SMEs which allows them to be more flexible than larger enterprises, the majority of disadvantages of SMEs result from lacking economies of scale and scope of small enterprises (Peters, Withalm and

Wölfel, 2008). These advantages and disadvantages offer strategy alternatives to small- and medium-sized tourism enterprises.

Table 2: Strategic advantages of tourism SMEs

Entrepreneur's	Determination to succeed, backed by hard work and personal,		
motivation	family, social sacrifices		
Market niche advantages	Market niches often ignored by large enterprises, offer growth chances for small businesses. Small businesses identify customers' wishes and produce tailor-made niche products for specific segments through customisation.		
Personal relationship with enterprise stakeholders	Personal relationships with customers, suppliers, distributors and employees can be a main source of competitive advantage as favourable terms and conditions negotiated and support is offered at difficult times.		
Family involvement	Family involvement ensures human resources are used flexibly to meet demand variations whilst ensures commitment and trust		
Flexibility and reaction	SMEs are flexible to respond to client requirements and changes to the external environment. Due to a generally flat hierarchy they can decide [quickly and react immediately to market changes.		
Continuity	The continuity of family businesses ensures strong social values		

Source: (Buhalis and Peters, 2006, p.124)

Table 3: Strategic disadvantages of tourism SMEs

Lack of economies of	High overheads and costs per unit make SMEs unable to
scale	compete on price and to lack the benefits of mass production
Informal management	Most SMTEs lack a strategic long-term plan and very rarely formalise strategic business plans. This leads them to continuously fire-fight and  to neglect strategic developments.
Traditional approaches/ reluctance to change	Although in theory SMEs should be innovative and flexible, they often adopt traditional lifestyle-driven approaches and are reluctant to change
Knowledge and qualification hurdle	Strategy development, quality management and technology adoption are often deficit areas of SMEs due to knowledge gaps, lack of education and qualifications. Attracting and financing qualified personnel is difficult. Education of entrepreneurs and key employees can support small business growth
Delegation and	When small businesses become more formalised the founder has
leadership hurdle	to find ways to plan, organise and delegate main tasks. Entrepreneurs are often reluctant to give-up control and to delegate whilst they often lack leadership skills to motivate others
Finance hurdle	SMEs find difficult to attract start up capital and raise capital for expansion as they have small assets. At the development or survival phases cash-flow arise when expansion expenditure exceeds cash flows.
Personal relationships	Personal relationships can also be a burden if incapable family [members play an active role or when uncompetitive suppliers are used.
Family involvement	While large enterprises act anonymously and autonomously, SME owners often interpret their enterprise as heritage for following generations. SMEs are closed to investors and potential shareholders as family businesses display different growth strategies. Family values, systems and situation as well family unity often prevail SME business decisions. For example family members cannot easily be made redundant. Nevertheless family business success is heavily dependent upon the support structure within the family.

Source: (Buhalis and Peters, 2006, p.124)

For the small company, the goal of developing a strategic plan is to create a competitive advantage - the aggregation of factors that sets a small business apart from its competitors and gives it a unique

position in the market superior to its competition. From a strategic perspective, the key to business success is to develop a unique competitive advantage, one that creates value for customers and is difficult for competitors to duplicate (Zimmerer and Scarborough, 2005, p.69). Traditionally, there exist three strategic options for SMEs (Porter, 1980):

- 1 Cost leadership Strategy: A company strives to be the low-cost producer relative to its competitors.
- 2 **Differentiation Strategy:** A company seeks to build customer loyalty by positioning its goods and services in a unique or different fashion.
- 3 Focus Strategy: A company selects one or more market segments, identifies customers' special needs, wants, and interests, and approaches them with a good or service designed to excel in meeting those needs, wants, and interests.

#### Conclusion

All the changes occurred during the last few decades, from political changes in the world and rapid technological advances to more intense competition and newly emerging global markets, have made the business environment more turbulent and challenging to business owners. Although this market turbulence creates many challenges for small businesses, it also creates opportunities for those companies that have in place strategies to capitalize on them. Small businesses' willingness to create change, to experiment with new business models, and to break traditional rules have become more important than ever.

Companies that do not have clear strategies may achieve some success in the short run, but as soon as competitive conditions stiffen or an unforeseen threat arises, they usually "hit the wall" and fold. In today's global competitive environment, any business, large or small, that is not thinking and acting strategically is extremely vulnerable. Every business is exposed to the forces of a rapidly changing competitive environment, and in the future small business executives can expect even greater change and uncertainty (Morrison, Rimmington and Williams, 2005, p.198).

Even though strategic planning and strategic management are strongly related to small and medium-sized enterprises' financial performance, many of these companies still do not use the process in order to build their competitive advantage strategies. The reasons behind this are fourfold (Wheelen and Hunger, 2006, pp.319-320):

- Time constraints and uncertainty of future,
- Unfamiliarity with strategic planning and management process,
- Lack of skills and lack of money to import trained consultants,
- Lack of trust and openness, unwilling to share business ideas and strategies with employees and outsiders.

In order to choose appropriate competitive strategies small businesses should target a 'niche market' and avoid direct competition with larger companies (Cooper, 1989). Small businesses should concentrate on the markets where they have a competitive advantage or which are neglected by large companies. Some suggestions to small businesses are as follows (Hing, 2001, p.78):

- Small businesses should concentrate on specialized markets.
- Small businesses should concentrate on opportunities arising from rapid market changes.
- Small businesses can concentrate on short production runs, quick delivery and customized service.
- Small businesses can use scarce materials, locate in areas with small labour forces and utilize unique approaches.
- Small businesses have a low profile, can move quickly and be less concerned about government regulatory attitudes and their visibility to communities and unions.

#### References

- Archer, B. and J. Fletcher, 1996, "The Economic Impact of Tourism in the Seychelles," Annals of Tourism Research, 23(1), 32-47.
- Brohman, J., 1996, "New Directions in Tourism for Third World Development," Annals of Tourism Research, 23(1), 48-70.
- Buhalis, D. and M. Peters, 2006, "SMEs in Tourism," in D.Buhalis and C. Costa (eds.), Tourism Management Dynamics: Trends, Management and Tools, (pp.116-129), Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, UK.
- By, R.T. and C. Dale, 2008, "The Successful Management of Organisational Change in Tourism SMEs: Initial Findings in UK Visitor Attractions," International Journal of Tourism Research, 10(4), 305-313.
- Cooper, A.C., 1989, "Strategic Management: New Ventures and Small Business," in B. Lloyd (ed.), Entrepreneurship: Creating and Managing New Ventures, (pp.97-103), Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK.
- Cooper, C., J. Fletcher, A. Fyall, D. Gilbert and S. Wanhill, 2005, Tourism Principles and Practice (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.), Prentice Hall, Harlow.
- Crouch G. I. and B. Ritchie J.R., 1999, "Tourism, Competitiveness, and Societal Prosperity", Journal of Business Research, 44(3), 137-152.
- Crouch, G. I. and B. Ritchie, J. R., 1995, "Destination Competitiveness and the Role of the Tourism Enterprise," *Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Business Congress* (pp. 43-48), Istanbul, Turkey, July 13-16, 1995.
- Day, G.S. and D.J. Reibstein, 1997, Wharton on Dynamic Competitive Strategy, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, USA.
- Day, G.S. and P. Nedungadi, 1994, "Managerial Representations of Competitive Advantage," *Journal of Marketing*, 58(2), 31-44. Day, G.S. and R. Wensley, 1988, "Assessing Advantages: A Framework for
- Day, G.S. and R. Wensley, 1988, "Assessing Advantages: A Framework for Diagnosing Competitive Superiority," Journal of Marketing, 52(2), 1-20.
- Eikebrokk T.R. and D.H. Olsen, 2007, "An Empirical Investigation of Competency Factors Affecting E-Business Success in European SMEs," Information & Management, 44(4), 364-383.
- Getz, D., J. Carlsen and A. Morrison, 2004, The Family Business in Tourism and Hospitality, CABI Publishing, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Guzmán, J., P. Moreno and P. Tejada, 2008, "The Tourism SMEs in the Global Value Chains: The Case of Andalusia," Service Business, 2(3), 187-2002.
- Harrison, D., 1992a, "International Tourism and the Less Developed Countries: The Background," In D. Harrison (ed.), Tourism and the Less Developed Countries, (pp.1-18), John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, UK.
- Harrison, D., 1992b, "Tourism to Less Developed Countries: The Social Consequences," In D. Harrison (ed.), Tourism and the Less Developed Countries, (pp.19-34), John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, UK.

- Harrison, D., 1994, "Tourism, Capitalism and Development in Less Developed Countries," in L. Sklair (ed.), Capitalism and Development, (pp.232-257), Routledge, London.
- Harrison, R. and C. Leitch, 1996, "Whatever You Hit call the Target: An Alternative Approach to Small Business Policy," in M. Dansen, (ed.), Small Firm Formation and Regional Economic Development, (pp. 221-247), Routledge, London.
- Hing, N., 2001, "Entrepreneurship and Small Business," in N. Douglas, N. Douglas, and R. Derret (eds.), Special Interest Tourism, (pp.56-85), John Wiley & Sons Australia, Singapore.
- Hjalager, A.-M., 2007, "Stages in the Economic Globalization of Tourism," Annals of Tourism Research, 34(2), 437-457.
- Hult, G.T.M. and D.J. Ketchen, 2001, "Does Market Orientation Matter?: A Test of the Relationship between Positional Advantage and Performance," Strategic Management Journal, 22, 889-906.
- Hyvonen, S. and M. Tuominen, 2006, "Entrepreneurial Innovations, Market-Driven Intangibles and Learning Orientation: Critical Indicators for Performance Advantages in SMEs," International Journal of Management and Decision Making (IJMDM), 7(6), 643-660.
- Inskeep, E., 1994, National and Regional Tourism Planning / Methodologies and Case Studies, World Tourism Organisation and Routledge, London.
- Jennings, P. and G. Beaver, 1997, "The Performance and Competitive Advantage of Small Firms: A Management Perspective," International Small Business Journal, 15(2), 63-75.
- Jones, E.E. and C. Haven-Tang, 2005, "The Heterodoxy of Tourism SMEs," in E.E. Jones (ed.), Tourism SMEs, Service Quality and Destination Competitiveness, (pp.337-356), CABI Publishing, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Kirsten, M. and C.M. Rogerson, 2002, "Tourism, Business Linkages and Small Enterprise Development in South Africa," *Development Southern Africa*, 19(1), 29-59.
- Knight, G.A., 2001, "Entrepreneurship and Strategy in the International SME," Journal of International Management, 7(3), 155-171
- Lea, J., 1988, Tourism and Development in the Third World, Routledge, London.
- Lei, D. and J.W. Slocum, Jr., 2005, "Strategic and Organizational Requirements for Competitive Advantage," Academy of Management Executive, 19(1), 31-45.
- Manu F.A. and V. Sriram, 1996, "Innovation, Marketing Strategy, Environment, and Performance," *Journal of Business Research*, 35(1), 79-91.
- Meredith G.G. 1988, Small Business Management in Australia, McGraw-Hill, Sydney.
- Miles, I. 1993, "Services in the New Industrial Economy," Futures,  $25\,(6)$ , 653-672.
- Morrison, A., M. Rimmington, and C. Williams, 2005, Entrepreneurship in the Hospitality, Tourism and Leisure Industries, Elsevier Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford, UK.
- Murphy, P.E. and Murphy A.E., 2004, Strategic Management for Tourism Communities: Bridging the Gaps, Channel View Publications, Clevedon, UK.
- O'Donnell, A., A. Gilmore, D. Carson, and D. Cummins, 2002, "Competitive Advantage in Small to Medium-Sized Enterprises," Journal of Strategic Marketing, 10(3), 205-223.
- Peters, M., J. Withalm and W. Wölfel, 2008, "Capability Maturity Models for SMEs and Collaborative Networked Organisations in Tourism," in P. O'Conner, W. Höpken and U. Gretzel (eds.), Information and Communication Technologies in Tourism 2008

- Proceedings of the International Conference in Innsbruck, Austria, 2008, (pp.568-579), Springer-Verlag, Wien.
- Poon, A. 2003, "Competitive Strategies for a 'New Tourism'," in C. Cooper (ed.), Aspects of Tourism, 8: Classic Reviews in Tourism, (pp.130-142), Channel View Publications, Clevedon, UK.
- Porter, M.E., 1980, Competitive Strategy, Free Press, New York, USA.
- Porter, M.E., 1985, Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance, Free Press, New York, USA.
- Porter, M.E., 1991, "Towards a Dynamic Theory of Strategy," Strategic Management Journal, 12, Special Winter Issue, 95-117.
- Sharpley, R., 2002, "The Challenges of Economic Diversification through Tourism: The Case of Abu Dhabi," *International Journal of tourism Research*, 4(3), 221-235.
- Sinclair, M.T. and M. Stabler, 1998, The Economics Of Tourism, Routledge, London.
- Sinclair, M.T., 1998, "Tourism and Economic Development: A Survey," Journal of Development Studies, 34, 1-51.
- Wanhill, S., 2000, "Small and Medium Tourism Enterprises," Annals of Tourism Research, 27(1), 132-147.
- Wanhill, S., 2002, Sustaining Tourism SMEs, Available at: http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/CLAD/clad00445 47.pdf, Accessed the 24<sup>th</sup> of February, 2009.
- Wheelen, T.L. and J.D. Hunger, 2006, Strategic Management and Business Policy, ( $10^{\rm th}$  ed.), Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA.
- Wickham, P.A., 2006, Strategic Entrepreneurship ( $4^{\rm th}$  ed.), Prentice Hall, Essex, UK.
- Zimmerer T.W. and N.M. Scarborough, 2005, Essentials of Entrepreneurship and Small Business Management (4<sup>th</sup> ed.), Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, USA.